



**OPTICAL PHYSICS** 

# Mechanical ringdown studies of large-area substrate-transferred GaAs/AlGaAs crystalline coatings

Steven D. Penn,<sup>1,\*</sup> <sup>(D)</sup> Maya M. Kinley-Hanlon,<sup>2</sup> Ian A. O. MacMillan,<sup>2,3</sup> <sup>(D)</sup> Paula Heu,<sup>4</sup> David Follman,<sup>4</sup> Christoph Deutsch,<sup>5</sup> Garrett D. Cole,<sup>4,5</sup> <sup>(D)</sup> and Gregory M. Harry<sup>2</sup> <sup>(D)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Hobart and William Smith Colleges, 300 Pulteney Street, Geneva, New York 14456, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, American University, 4400 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20016, USA <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Georgetown University, 3700 O Street NW, Washington, DC 20057, USA <sup>4</sup>Crystalline Mirror Solutions LLC, 114 East Haley Street Suite G, Santa Barbara, California 93101, USA <sup>5</sup>Crystalline Mirror Solutions GmbH, Lehargasse 1, A-1060 Vienna, Austria

\*Corresponding author: penn@hws.edu

Received 12 November 2018; revised 21 December 2018; accepted 22 December 2018; posted 3 January 2019 (Doc. ID 351638); published 1 February 2019

We investigated elastic loss in GaAs/AlGaAs multilayers to help determine the suitability of these coatings for future gravitational wave detectors. We measured large ( $\approx$ 70-mm diameter) substrate-transferred crystalline coating samples with an improved substrate polish and bonding method. The elastic loss, when decomposed into bulk and shear contributions, was shown to arise entirely from the bulk loss,  $\phi_{bulk} = (5.33 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$ , with  $\phi_{shear} = 0.0^{+5.2}_{-0.0} \times 10^{-7}$ . These results predict the coating loss of an 8-mm diameter coating in a 35-mm long cavity with a 250-µm spot size (radius) to be  $\phi_{coating} = (4.78 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-5}$ , in agreement with the published result from direct thermal noise measurement of  $\phi_{coating} = (4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$ . Bonding defects were shown to have little impact on the overall elastic loss. © 2019 Optical Society of America

https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAB.36.000C15

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The direct measurement of gravitational waves [1,2], as predicted by Einstein's general theory of relativity, has opened a new window on the universe and launched the field of multimessenger astronomy [3–5]. Interferometric gravitational wave detectors, such as LIGO [6], Virgo [7], and KAGRA [8] are precision optical instruments designed specifically to detect these distortions in space-time. Thermally driven fluctuations of the optical coatings of the detector test mass mirrors are a significant limitation to their sensitivity [6] and astronomical reach. Reducing coating thermal noise to near or below the standard quantum limit [9-11] is a key goal for future detectors [12]. Thermal noise is expected to limit the sensitivity of the current LIGO and Virgo detectors [6,7] in the mid-frequency band, 50-150 Hz, which is their region of highest sensitivity [6]. In addition, thermal noise will present a significant challenge when designing future, more sensitive, gravitational wave detectors [13]. Ultimately, the minimization of thermal noise will allow for fully quantum-limited interferometry.

Epitaxial GaAs/Al<sub>0.92</sub>Ga<sub>0.08</sub>As (AlGaAs) multilayers have demonstrated low elastic losses in freestanding microresonator experiments at both room and cryogenic temperatures [14,15].

Moreover, direct thermal noise measurements in referencecavity-stabilized laser systems have confirmed the low loss of these single crystal films  $\phi_{\text{coating}} = (4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$  once transferred to a final optical substrate and implemented as a highreflectivity interference coating [16]. In addition to their low elastic loss, recent optical characterization efforts on large-area (50-mm diameter) crystalline coatings have shown promising results, with sub-parts per million absorption and scatter in line with that seen in ion-beam sputtered coatings [17] as currently employed in gravitational wave detectors. In terms of size scaling, crystalline coatings may currently be manufactured with diameters up to 20 cm using commercially available wafers, with the possibility for realizing 40-cm diameter optics using custom-fabricated GaAs substrates. While these results are promising for future implementation in gravitational wave detectors, noise in these coatings have thus far been probed on either small-area optics (typical coating diameters from 5 to 8 mm) or with small spot sizes at the millimeter scale. In contrast, current LIGO test mass mirrors have 34-cm diameter faces [18] with centimeter-scale optical spots, and future gravitational wave interferometers may employ larger mirrors in part as a method to reduce coating thermal noise, which depends inversely on the beam diameter.

Large beams require a uniform coating surface across the full face of the suspended optic. Any defects, even far from the beam's center, could generate excess optical loss, thereby increasing shot noise and possibly increasing mechanical loss in the coating, reducing the detector sensitivity. On the latter point, in the course of developing larger AlGaAs mirror coatings, concerns have been raised that low elastic losses may be difficult to achieve at larger size scales. Variations in the dissipation mechanism due to imperfections or varying bond strength across a sample may allow for low losses to be achieved in small-scale measurements, but not for increased sample sizes. For larger samples, the increased coating area also increases the likelihood of a bond defect occurring between the AlGaAs coating and the substrate. These defects could be expected to increase the elastic loss.

We report here on elastic loss measurements on a set of two 70.1-mm-diameter AlGaAs crystalline coatings (Samples 2 and 4), with almost a factor of 80 larger coating area than previously investigated. In order to minimize potential interface losses, the silica substrates were precision-polished, and efforts were made to optimize the GaAs-to-silica bond quality. After production, both samples exhibited about 10 visible defects and a few larger flaws along the edge. The elastic loss was measured for both samples using mechanical ringdown.

Following the initial loss measurement, Sample 4 was subjected to a selective chemical etching process to remove the bonding defects and was remeasured. The coating elastic loss was then calculated for the three sets of measurements (Samples 2, 4, and 4 etched). We found that the coating loss before and after etching showed only minor differences, indicating that the bond defects did not contribute significantly to the loss. In addition, the coating elastic loss measured for Mode 1 was less than that measured in any previous experiments with AlGaAs, indicating no significant excess loss induced by interfacial defects. Finally, the separate components of elastic loss extracted from these measurements predict a coating loss for a 35-mm optical reference cavity that is consistent with published values [16].

#### 2. BACKGROUND

Brownian thermal noise can be described by the fluctuationdissipation theorem [19,20], which demonstrates that the fluctuations in the state of a system and the system's dissipation can both be described by an elastic loss angle, the ratio of the imaginary part of the complex elastic constant to the real part. In 1998, Levin used the fluctuation-dissipation theorem to calculate the contribution of thermal noise in the test mass mirror coatings to LIGO's overall sensitivity [21]. This calculation was a revelation to the gravitational wave community, revealing that the thermal noise contribution from a few micrometers of lossy coating material could greatly exceed the thermal noise from the >10 cm thick fused silica substrate. When Levin's derivation is applied to the case of amorphous mirror coatings on gravitational wave detector test masses, the coating thermal noise equation is given by [22]

$$S_x(f) = 2k_B T \phi_{\text{eff}} \frac{1 - \sigma^2}{\pi^{3/2} f w Y},$$
 (1)

where  $S_x$  is the power spectral density of position fluctuations, f is the frequency,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature,  $\sigma$  is the Poisson's ratio of the optic substrate material, w is the half-width of the Gaussian mode of the laser, Y is the Young's modulus of the optic substrate, and

$$\phi_{\rm eff} = \phi + \phi_{\rm coating} \frac{2d - 4d\sigma}{\sqrt{\pi}w(1 - \sigma)},$$
 (2)

where  $\phi$  is the loss angle of the optic substrate, d is the thickness of the coating, and  $\phi_{\text{coating}}$  is the loss angle of the coating. Equation (2) is a simplification of the full formula for  $\phi_{\text{eff}}$ , assuming only a single loss angle  $\phi_{\text{coating}}$  and elastic constant Y can be used to characterize the elasticity of the coating material.

For our experiments, the samples consist of thin coating layers bonded to or deposited on thin substrates formed from a very low loss material, typically fused silica. The elastic loss of the sample may be determined by measuring the modal Q factor via mechanical ringdown. This weakly damped system can be driven to resonance and then allowed to freely ringdown with the amplitude describing a decaying exponential  $A_0 e^{-t/\tau}$ . The quality factor and elastic loss are related by  $Q = \pi f_0 \tau = 1/\phi_{\text{sample}}$ , where  $f_0$  is the resonant frequency of the normal mode.

The elastic loss angle is the fraction of energy dissipated during each oscillation. Therefore, one can extract the coating loss using

$$\phi_{\text{coating}} = (\phi_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{substrate}} \phi_{\text{substrate}}) / R_{\text{coating}},$$
 (3)

where  $R_{\text{substrate}} = E_{\text{substrate}}/E_{\text{sample}} \approx 1$  and  $R_{\text{coating}} = E_{\text{coating}}/E_{\text{sample}}$  are the energy ratios of the system components, also known as the dissipation dilution factors. The energy ratios, which were calculated using a finite-element model, are provided in Table 1.

AlGaAs, which is a face-centered cubic crystal, has an equation of elasticity that is expressed, using Voigt notation, as  $\sigma_I = c_{IJ} \epsilon_J$ , where the elasticity matrix,  $c_{IJ}$ , depends on three independent constants:

$$c_{IJ} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{12} & & & \\ c_{12} & c_{11} & c_{12} & & & \\ c_{12} & c_{12} & c_{11} & & & \\ & & & c_{44} & & \\ & & & & & c_{14} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (4)

 Table 1.
 Dissipation Dilution Factors, R, Used to Extract the Coating Loss and the Bulk/Shear Components of the Coating Loss

	Initial Sample			<b>Etched Sample</b>		
Mode	<b>R</b> <sub>coating</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>bulk</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>shear</sub>	R <sub>coating</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>bulk</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>shear</sub>
1	0.0218	0.0347	0.965	0.0242	0.0381	0.962
2	0.0228	0.320	0.680	0.0216	0.315	0.685
3	0.0193	0.0568	0.943	0.0166	0.0584	0.942
4	0.0233	0.239	0.761	0.0219	0.251	0.749
5	0.0175	0.0724	0.928	0.0149	0.0698	0.930
6	0.0161	0.0839	0.916	0.0133	0.0827	0.917
7	0.0226	0.217	0.783	0.0189	0.243	0.758

In Eq. (4), the unspecified elements are zero. For AlGaAs,  $c_{11} = 119.94$  GPa,  $c_{12} = 55.38$  GPa, and  $c_{44} = 59.15$  GPa. For each of the three elastic constants, there should be a unique loss angle,  $\phi_{11}$ ,  $\phi_{12}$ , and  $\phi_{44}$ . The coating loss angle is then given by

$$\phi_{\text{coating}} = R_{11}\phi_{11} + R_{12}\phi_{12} + R_{44}\phi_{44},$$
 (5)

where  $R_{xx} = E_{xx}/E_{\text{coating}}$  and  $E_{xx}$  is the elastic energy in the xx deformation. (11 = parallel stress-strain, 12 = orthogonal stress-strain, and 44 = shear stress-strain). Because a single loss angle is measured for each mode of the sample, one determines the contributing loss angles by fitting the sample loss as a function of mode frequency. This method requires that the energy ratio functions be linearly independent in order to avoid degeneracy.

Unfortunately  $R_{11}$  and  $R_{12}$  are similar functions, which makes it difficult to distinguish  $\phi_{11}$  and  $\phi_{12}$ . Modes with quadrupole symmetry, like modes 1 and 7 (see Fig. 4), can have degenerate states aligned and unaligned with the crystal axes. For these states, the  $R_{11}$  and  $R_{12}$  values diverge, and with careful measurement, it should be possible to determine  $\phi_{11}$  and  $\phi_{12}$ . However, we did not measure both degenerate states for these modes for all samples. For the modes we measured,  $R_{11}$ and  $R_{12}$  have a linear dependence, as can be seen in Fig. 1.

Therefore, for the remainder of this paper we will characterize the elastic loss in AlGaAs coatings using a bulk/shear decomposition, a method usually employed in analyzing the loss and thermal noise in amorphous coatings [23,24]. Bulk/ shear decomposition is an appropriate choice in this case because  $R_{\text{shear}} = R_{44}$  and  $R_{\text{bulk}}$  is composed from  $R_{11}$  and  $R_{12}$ . As will be shown in the Results (Section 4), this choice appears to reflect a natural separation for AlGaAs coatings (see Fig. 7).

The high-reflectivity coatings used currently in Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo are multilayers of amorphous metal oxides, with alternating layers of SiO<sub>2</sub> (low index) and TiO<sub>2</sub>-alloyed Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (high index) deposited by ion-beam sputtering [25–29]. These dielectric multilayer coatings exhibit excellent optical properties, including <1 ppm of absorption and parts



**Fig. 1.** Energy ratios,  $R_{11}$ ,  $R_{12}$ , and  $R_{44}$ , for the modes measured in Sample 4. The  $R_{12}$  linear fit demonstrates the  $R_{11}$ ,  $R_{12}$  dependence, since  $R_{11} \approx m \cdot R_{12} + b$ .

per million-level scatter [18] and can be applied over a large area on a variety of optical substrates. However, the main drawback of these coatings is the high elastic loss of the high-index material, which generates unacceptably high levels of coating thermal noise. The initial LIGO coatings were a  $SiO_2/Ta_2O_5$  quarter-wave multilayer coating with an elastic loss of  $\approx 3 \times 10^{-4}$  [28]. For Advanced LIGO, the coatings were improved by alloying the Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> layers with TiO<sub>2</sub>, which reduced the coating loss to  $\approx 2 \times 10^{-4}$  [25]. Significant improvements in performance are still being investigated for low-noise and high-reflectivity coatings. Specifically, for the recently funded "A+" upgrade for Advanced LIGO, the goal is to reduce the coating elastic loss by another factor of 2–4 [30].

Single-crystal interference coatings, such as GaAs/AlGaAs, are an attractive candidate for future gravitational wave detectors. This material simultaneously exhibits excellent optical quality [17,31] and low elastic loss [14,15], with a measured coating loss of  $\phi_{\text{coating}} = (4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$  at room temperature when implemented in an optical reference cavity [16]. These coatings consist of alternating lattice-matched single-crystal films deposited via an epitaxial growth process. Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>As, 0 < x < 1, is a ternary alloy of GaAs and AlAs III–V compound semiconductors, both consisting of a face-centered cubic unit cell and a nearly matching lattice constant across all Al compositions. The ability to generate low-strain heterostructures with reasonable refractive index contrast allows for the generation of high-performance single-crystal optical interference coatings as initially demonstrated by van der Ziel and Illegems [32]. One major engineering challenge to this material system, being a single-crystal structure, is the need for latticematching in epitaxy, which precludes the growth of such heterostructures on arbitrary optical surfaces, including direct deposition on amorphous or mismatched crystalline substrates. To overcome this limitation, epitaxial multilayers are removed from their initial growth wafers and directly bonded to the final optical surface. With this approach, high purity and low defect density single-crystal materials can be combined with arbitrary (including curved) optical substrates [31].

## 3. METHOD

The 76 mm diameter fused silica substrates (Corning 7980) employed in our experiment were obtained from a commercial wafer manufacturer with specifications of <0.5 nm RMS microroughness, a wafer bow/warp of <15  $\mu$ m, and 1-mm thickness with a total thickness variation of <10  $\mu$ m. Before measurement (and coating), Sample 4 was annealed at a maximum temperature of 950 °C for approximately 6 h in a clean air atmosphere. Sample 2 was not annealed. Each sample was then suspended in a vacuum bell jar from a welded silica fiber suspension [22]. A vacuum was maintained below 10<sup>-5</sup> Torr throughout the measurement. This technique for measuring mechanical Qs has been described in several papers [22,25, 27–29,33]. We summarize the process in the text below and include a diagram of the experiment in Fig. 2.

To excite the mechanical modes of the sample, a comb capacitor (exciter) was placed near the suspended sample (see the inset in Fig. 2). The exciter generates an alternating gradient electric field that exerts an oscillatory force on the



**Fig. 2.** Experimental setup used to measure the elastic loss. The inset shows how the sample is hung with a thin silica fiber, connected with an isolation bob for vibration isolation. The comb capacitor, in blue, is situated close to the sample for efficient driving.

induced dipole ( $\vec{F} = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{E}$ ). The sample is driven at the normal mode frequency. Excitation is ceased, and the free decay is measured by recording the strain-induced birefringence (ellipsometry) [22]. The data is heterodyned using a lock-in amplifier and recorded using a LabView data acquisition code written by the author (SP). A typical data run is recorded over a period of at least twice the exponential decay factor, or the time it takes the amplitude to decrease by a factor of  $e^{-2}$ . Several data runs are recorded for each mode, and the loss for each mode is the average of the results weighted by the fit uncertainty, assuming Gaussian statistics. The loss was measured for the bare substrate and for the coated samples. The coating loss was calculated using Eq. (3).

After the measurement of the substrates, the samples were coated with a high-reflectivity AlGaAs multilayer with 35.5 layers of alternating GaAs (76.43 nm) and  $Al_{0.92}Ga_{0.08}As$ (89.35 nm), for a target optical transmission of 10 ppm at 1064 nm. Similar to previous crystalline coating efforts [15–17, 34,35], we begin by growing a single-crystal multilayer by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) on a 150-mm diameter GaAs wafer (in a 178 × 152 mm wafer configuration). For this effort, we deposit 36-layer pairs of quarter-wave (optical thickness at a wavelength of 1064 nm) GaAs/Al\_{0.92}Ga\_{0.08}As with the final Al-containing layer acting as an etch stop for selective substrate removal. Following the MBE growth process, each 152 mm wafer is lithographically patterned to generate two approximately 76 mm diameter coating discs with a large "flat" for crystal orientation identification as well as to pull back the coating from the heat-affected zone generated in fiber welding. These discs were inspected, thoroughly cleaned, and then directly bonded to a 76 mm diameter, 1-mm thick precision-polished fused silica substrate. Following the substrate-transfer coating process, the mirror surface was again thoroughly cleaned and inspected for imperfections. Next, the sample's Q were remeasured at the same normal modes. Similar to the coating investigated in [17], completed samples exhibited a small population of visible defects. The example shown in Fig. 3 had imperfections



**Fig. 3.** Photographs of Sample 4 before (left) and after (right) the selective defect removal process. The etched regions have been highlighted in the right panel.

at 12 locations, including point defects  $>50 \ \mu m$  in diameter, as well as larger, unbonded regions at the coating edge.

Following the measurements on the coated samples, Sample 4 was further processed to eliminate the macroscopic bond defects. First the mirror surface was covered with photoresist. Then, a filtered (short-wavelength blocking) white-light optical



**Fig. 4.** Modes 1–8 of the coating sample. The *radial* modes (1, 3, 5, 6) are dominated by shear energy. The *drumhead* modes (2, 4, 7, 8) have one-third of their energy in bulk stress. Modes 5 and 8 are presented for completeness, but no data were collected on these modes.

microscope was used to identify and expose, via removal of said filter, the applied photosensitive polymer film over each defect. After exposure, the mirror was submerged in a developer solution to remove the photoresist at the defect sites, and a selective phosphoric-acid-based wet chemical etch ( $H_3PO_4$ :  $H_2O_2$ : $H_2O$  1:5:15) was used to remove the undesired coating material. Our experience has shown that this etch has high selectivity with SiO<sub>2</sub>, and we can recover a pristine surface with sub-Angstrom RMS microroughness. When the etching process was complete, the loss in Sample 4 was measured again.

## 4. RESULTS

The elastic loss of coated samples and the bare substrates are listed in Tables 2 and 3 for the initial samples (before etching). The elastic loss of the coating is calculated using Eq. (3) and the R values from Table 1. Figure 5 shows the coating loss for the initial samples as a function of modal frequency. The loss was sharply divided with the loss in the drumhead modes (2, 4, 7) being about 4× higher than the loss in the radial modes (1, 3, 6). This pattern of loss bifurcation, which has been observed previously in amorphous coatings [24] (except in that case the radial modes had higher loss), indicated a large difference in the loss from bulk and shear motion. From this observation, we chose to analyze the AlGaAs samples with a bulk and shear decomposition.

We decomposed the coating loss into bulk and shear losses using the equation

$$\phi_{\text{coating}} = R_{\text{bulk}}\phi_{\text{bulk}} + R_{\text{shear}}\phi_{\text{shear}},$$
 (6)

where the energy ratios,  $R_{\text{bulk}}$  and  $R_{\text{shear}}$ , given in Table 1 were calculated using a finite-element model programmed in COMSOL (see [36]).

As is shown in Fig. 7, the dependence of  $R_{\text{bulk}}$  with modal frequency was a good match with the dependence of  $\phi_{\text{coating}}$ versus frequency, indicating that the coating loss was dominated by the bulk loss. Indeed, when the data were fit, there was no detectable contribution from  $\phi_{\text{shear}}$ . The same analysis was performed on Sample 4 after the bond defects were removed by etching. The results are shown in Table 4 and Fig. 6. The initial coatings yield a bulk/shear loss of  $\phi_{\text{bulk}} =$  $(5.64 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$  and  $\phi_{\text{Shear}} = 0.0^{+1.5}_{-0.0} \times 10^{-6}$ , while the etched coating yielded a bulk/shear loss of  $\phi_{\text{bulk}} =$  $(5.33 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$  and  $\phi_{\text{shear}} = 0.0^{+5.2}_{-0.0} \times 10^{-7}$ . If we attribute the difference in the pre-etch and the postetch  $\phi_{\text{bulk}}$  to the bond defects, then the bond defect loss only contributed about 5% of the total coating loss.

Table 2.Sample 2: Elastic Loss of Initial Coating withBond Defects

		Loss Angle (×10 <sup>-5</sup> )			
Mode	Freq. (kHz)	$\phi_{\text{sample}}$	$\phi_{ ext{substrate}}$	$\phi_{ m coating}$	
1	1.074	0.0955	0.0657	1.4400	
3	2.462	0.1889	0.1131	4.0500	
4	3.778	0.3351	0.0172	13.6400	
6	6.510	0.2398	0.1680	4.6300	
7	6.566	0.3090	0.0227	12.6900	

Table 3. Sample 4: Elastic Loss of Initial Coating with Bond Defects

Mode	Freq. (kHz)	L	oss Angle (×10	<b>)</b> <sup>-5</sup> )
		$\phi_{\mathrm{sample}}$	$\phi_{ ext{substrate}}$	$\phi_{ m coating}$
1	1.077	0.0404	0.0069	1.5500
2	1.624	0.3734	0.0135	15.8000
3	2.473	0.0970	0.0391	3.0500
4	3.792	0.3122	0.0063	13.1100
6	6.536	0.1028	0.0664	2.3300



**Fig. 5.** Coating loss of the initial samples with bond defects. A fit of bulk and shear losses yielded  $\phi_{\text{bulk}} = (5.64 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$  and  $\phi_{\text{shear}} = 0.0^{+1.5}_{-0.0} \times 10^{-6}$ .

As a preliminary test for amplitude dependence in the loss, we increased the strain-induced birefringence produced by the exciter and observed the change in loss. Increasing the strain by 10 reduced the coating loss by about 1%.



**Fig. 6.** Coating loss  $\phi_{\text{coat}}$  for Sample 4 with the bond defects selectively etched away. A fit of bulk and shear losses yielded  $\phi_{\text{bulk}} = (5.33 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$  and  $\phi_{\text{shear}} = 0.0^{+5.2}_{-0.0} \times 10^{-7}$ .

Table 4.Sample 4: Elastic Loss of Etched Coating withBond Defects Removed

		Loss Angle (×10 <sup>-5</sup> )			
Mode	Freq. (kHz)	$\phi_{\mathrm{sample}}$	$\phi_{ ext{substrate}}$	$\phi_{ m coating}$	
1	1.071	0.0646	0.0069	2.3900	
2	1.624	0.3689	0.0135	16.4700	
3	2.472	0.0927	0.0391	3.2800	
4	3.792	0.2947	0.0063	13.1800	
6	6.530	0.1130	0.0664	3.5800	
7	6.596	0.2554	0.0062	13.2200	



**Fig. 7.** Comparison of variation of coating loss angle and the ratio of bulk stress energy to total stress energy versus modal frequency. The agreement illustrated the dominance of the bulk loss angle.

To further test the validity of these results, we compared them with the coating loss calculated from the measured thermal noise of an optical cavity in Ref. [16]. Here we built a finite-element model of the 35-mm-long cavity and, using a spot size of 0.25 mm (beam waist radius), calculated the bulk and shear energy ratios in the AlGaAs coatings to be  $R_{\text{bulk}} =$ 0.0898 and  $R_{\text{shear}} = 0.9102$ . Using these values, we predict a cavity coating loss of  $\phi_{\text{coating}} = (4.78 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-5}$ , which agrees with the published result  $\phi_{\text{coating}} = (4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$ , albeit based on measurements from a sample with an 80-fold increased coating area (or 20,000 times larger when compared with the optical spot size) than previously investigated.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

Mechanical Q measurements were performed both before and after defect removal on a large-area ( $\approx$ 70-mm diameter) substrate-transferred AlGaAs-based crystalline coating. The coating elastic loss showed a 5% reduction after defect removal, indicating that the loss contribution from the bond defects is, at most, small. A bulk/shear decomposition of the loss showed that the coating loss was due entirely to the bulk loss. The shear loss was on the order of  $10^{-6}$  or less. This result suggests the intriguing possibility of minimizing the coating thermal noise by finding a configuration that maximizes the ratio of shear to bulk energy.

On the crystalline coating manufacturing front, we continue to make progress with the substrate-transfer process in order to improve the bond quality and eliminate defects. Defects within the crystalline multilayer, known as "oval defects" are small imperfections ( $<20 \ \mu m$  across and a few nanometers thick) that remain a nuisance in MBE-grown crystalline multilayers. The density of these defects, which is thickness-dependent, is roughly a few hundred per  $cm^2$  for a 5–10 µm thick coating. Efforts to minimize the impact of these imperfections are currently being pursued. To produce high-strength defect-free bonds for the wafer geometries used here, the substrate surface quality must meet the requirements of <0.5 nm RMS microroughness and the bow/warp should be  $<10 \ \mu m$ . Ultimately, the production of defect-free optics requires that both the coating and substrate surfaces are kept pristine. In this direction, we have developed semiautomated tooling in order to minimize handling of the optic and to maximize cleanliness. Interfacial bond strengths on the order of 1  $J/cm^2$  have been measured for GaAs bonded to fused silica, which is comparable to the interatomic strength of the coating material. There is no evidence for the degradation of these bonds with time.

The large-area samples investigated here have shown reduced elastic loss, confirming the significant improvement in coating loss angle that was obtained previously via direct cavity-noise measurements, while another sample set manufactured at roughly the same time with 50-mm diameter coatings and measured for optical characteristics has shown promising scatter and absorption losses [17]. There are future plans to measure optical and elastic losses in a single sample set. While 20 cm is the current maximum diameter of commercially available GaAs wafers, wafers up to 40 cm can be procured today from existing crystal growth facilities, but at a significant cost. The epitaxy and bonding processes can also be scaled to these larger sizes. A paper is currently in preparation on how such crystalline coatings could be produced to meet the uniformity, optical, and mechanical requirements of the 34-cm diameter LIGO test mass mirrors.

Using the well-established thermo-optic characteristics of AlGaAs, one can optimize the multilayer design of a high-reflectivity coating so as to minimize the thermo-optic noise [35]. In early 2019, a set of such high-reflectivity crystalline coatings will be delivered to LIGO for direct thermal noise measurements using a folded optical cavity [37]. The elastic and optical losses of these samples will be measured as well.

Our research program will continue to investigate the source of the coating loss and will pursue methods to isolate the three loss angles for cubic crystals. In addition, optimization of the epitaxial growth and coating process, including the addition of postgrowth polishing processes, are being explored for the realization of defect-free crystalline coatings.

**Funding.** National Science Foundation (NSF) (PHY-0107417, PHY-1453252, PHY-1611821, PHY-1707863).

**Acknowledgment.** We thank Martin Fejer, Markus Aspelmeyer, and the LIGO Scientific Collaboration Optics

Working Group for useful discussions and feedback. This paper has been assigned LIGO Document Number LIGO-P1800315.

#### REFERENCES

- The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, "Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 061102 (2016).
- The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, "GW151226: observation of gravitational waves from a 22-solar-mass binary black hole coalescence," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 241103 (2016).
- The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, "Gravitational waves and gamma-rays from a binary neutron star merger: GW170817 and GRB 170817A," Astrophys. J. Lett. 848, L13 (2017).
- G. Ashton, E. Burns, T. Dal Canton, T. Dent, H.-B. Eggenstein, A. B. Nielsen, R. Prix, M. Was, and S. J. Zhu, "Coincident detection significance in multimessenger astronomy," Astrophys. J. 860, 6 (2018).
- A. Goldstein, P. Veres, E. Burns, M. S. Briggs, R. Hamburg, D. Kocevski, C. A. Wilson-Hodge, R. D. Preece, S. Poolakkil, O. J. Roberts, and C. M. Hui, "An ordinary short gamma-ray burst with extraordinary implications: Fermi-GBM detection of GRB 170817A," Astrophys. J. Lett. 848, L14 (2017).
- The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, "GW150914: the advanced LIGO detectors in the era of first discoveries," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 131103 (2016).
- The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, "Advanced Virgo: a second-generation interferometric gravitational wave detector," Classical Quantum Gravity 32, 024001 (2015).
- Y. Aso, Y. Michimura, K. Somiya, M. Ando, O. Miyakawa, T. Sekiguchi, D. Tatsumi, and H. Yamamoto, "Interferometer design of the KAGRA gravitational wave detector," Phys. Rev. D 88, 043007 (2013).
- F. Khalili and E. S. Polzik, "Overcoming the SQL in gravitational wave detectors using spin systems with negative effective mass," arXiv:1710.10405 (2017).
- M. Heurs, "Gravitational wave detection using laser interferometry beyond the standard quantum limit," Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London A 376, 20170289 (2018).
- M. Zhou and S. Shahriar, "Broadening the sub-standard-quantumlimit region in quantum noise limited sensitivity for gravitational wave detectors," in *Frontiers in Optics* (Optical Society of America, 2014), paper FTu5A–3.
- The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, "Prospects for observing and localizing gravitational-wave transients with advanced LIGO, advanced Virgo and KAGRA," Living Rev. Relativity 21, 3 (2018).
- The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, "Exploring the sensitivity of next generation gravitational wave detectors," Classical Quantum Gravity 34, 044001 (2017).
- G. D. Cole, S. Gröblacher, K. Gugler, S. Gigan, and M. Aspelmeyer, "Monocrystalline Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>As heterostructures for high-reflectivity high-Q micromechanical resonators in the megahertz regime," Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 261108 (2008).
- G. D. Cole, "Cavity optomechanics with low-noise crystalline mirrors," Proc. SPIE 8458, 845807 (2012).
- G. D. Cole, W. Zhang, M. J. Martin, J. Ye, and M. Aspelmeyer, "Tenfold reduction of Brownian noise in high-reflectivity optical coatings," Nat. Photonics 7, 644–650 (2013).
- M. Marchiò, R. Flaminio, L. Pinard, D. Forest, C. Deutsch, P. Heu, D. Follman, and G. D. Cole, "Optical performance of large-area crystalline coatings," Opt. Express 26, 6114–6125 (2018).
- G. Billingsley, H. Yamamoto, and L. Zhang, "Characterization of Advanced LIGO core optics," in *American Society for Precision Engineering (ASPE)* (2017), Vol. 66, pp. 78–83.

- H. B. Callen and T. A. Welton, "Irreversibility and generalized noise," Phys. Rev. 83, 34–40 (1951).
- R. F. Greene and H. B. Callen, "On the formalism of thermodynamic fluctuation theory," Phys. Rev. 83, 1231–1235 (1951).
- Y. Levin, "Internal thermal noise in the LIGO test masses: a direct approach," Phys. Rev. D 57, 659–663 (1998).
- G. M. Harry, A. M. Gretarsson, P. R. Saulson, S. E. Kittelberger, S. D. Penn, W. J. Startin, S. Rowan, M. M. Fejer, D. R. Crooks, G. Cagnoli, and J. Hough, "Thermal noise in interferometric gravitational wave detectors due to dielectric optical coatings," Classical Quantum Gravity 19, 897–917 (2002).
- T. Hong, H. Yang, E. K. Gustafson, R. X. Adhikari, and Y. Chen, "Brownian thermal noise in multilayer coated mirrors," Phys. Rev. D 87, 082001 (2013).
- M. Abernathy, G. Harry, J. Newport, H. Fair, M. Kinley-Hanlon, S. Hickey, I. Jiffar, A. Gretarsson, S. Penn, R. Bassiri, E. Gustafson, I. Martin, S. Rowan, and J. Hough, "Bulk and shear mechanical loss of titania-doped tantala," Phys. Lett. A 382, 2282–2288(2018).
- G. M. Harry, M. R. Abernathy, A. E. Becerra-Toledo, H. Armandula, E. Black, K. Dooley, M. Eichenfield, C. Nwabugwu, A. Villar, D. R. Crooks, and G. Cagnoli, "Titania-doped tantala/silica coatings for gravitational-wave detection," Classical Quantum Gravity 24, 405–415 (2007).
- G. M. Harry and LIGO Scientific Collaboration, "Advanced LIGO: the next generation of gravitational wave detectors," Classical Quantum Gravity 27, 084006 (2010).
- D. Crooks, G. Cagnoli, M. Fejer, G. Harry, J. Hough, B. Khuri-Yakub, S. Penn, R. Route, S. Rowan, P. Sneddon, I. Wygant, and G. Yaralioglu, "Experimental measurements of mechanical dissipation associated with dielectric coatings formed using SiO<sub>2</sub>, Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>," Classical Quantum Gravity **23**, 4953–4965 (2006).
- S. D. Penn, P. H. Sneddon, H. Armandula, J. C. Betzwieser, G. Cagnoli, J. Camp, D. R. M. Crooks, M. M. Fejer, A. M. Gretarsson, G. M. Harry, J. Hough, S. E. Kittelberger, M. J. Mortonson, R. Route, S. Rowan, and C. C. Vassiliou, "Mechanical loss in tantala/silica dielectric mirror coatings," Classical Quantum Gravity 20, 2917–2928 (2003).
- S. Reid and I. W. Martin, "Development of mirror coatings for gravitational wave detectors," Coatings 6, 61 (2016).
- L. Barsotti and LIGO Collaboration, "The A + upgrade for Advanced LIGO," in APS Meeting Abstracts (2018), paper S14.002.
- G. D. Cole, W. Zhang, B. J. Bjork, D. Follman, P. Heu, C. Deutsch, L. Sonderhouse, J. Robinson, C. Franz, A. Alexandrovski, M. Notcutt, O. H. Heckl, J. Ye, and M. Aspelmeyer, "High-performance near- and mid-infrared crystalline coatings," Optica 3, 647–656 (2016).
- J. P. van der Ziel and M. Ilegems, "Multilayer GaAs-Al<sub>0.3</sub>Ga<sub>0.7</sub>As dielectric quarter wave stacks grown by molecular beam epitaxy," Appl. Opt. 14, 2627–2630 (1975).
- M. Kinley-Hanlon, H. M. Fair, I. Jiffar, J. Newport, L. Gitelman, G. Harry, G. Billingsley, and S. Penn, "The effect of time on optical coating mechanical loss and implications for LIGO-India," Classical Quantum Gravity 33, 147001 (2016).
- K. U. Schreiber, R. J. Thirkettle, R. B. Hurst, D. Follman, G. D. Cole, M. Aspelmeyer, and J.-P. R. Wells, "Sensing earth's rotation with a helium neon ring laser operating at 1.15m," Opt. Lett. 40, 1705–1708 (2015).
- T. Chalermsongsak, E. D. Hall, G. D. Cole, D. Follman, F. Seifert, K. Arai, E. K. Gustafson, J. R. Smith, M. Aspelmeyer, and R. X. Adhikari, "Coherent cancellation of photothermal noise in GaAs/Al<sub>0.92</sub>Ga<sub>0.08</sub>As Bragg mirrors," Metrologia 53, 860–868 (2016).
- 36. https://www.comsol.com/comsol-multiphysics.
- S. Gras, H. Yu, W. Yam, D. Martynov, and M. Evans, "Audio-band coating thermal noise measurement for Advanced LIGO with a multimode optical resonator," Phys. Rev. D 95, 022001 (2017).